1		
2		
3		
4		
5	LINITED OT A TEC.	NETDICT COUDT
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8	LORA JOLLIFF,	Cose No. 2-21 ov 00009 CMN NIV
9	Plaintiff(s),	Case No. 2:21-cv-00098-GMN-NJK
10	V.	Order
11	NEVADA CVS PHARMACY LLC, et al.,	
12	Defendant(s).	
13	To date, the parties have not filed a stipulated discovery plan as required by Local Rule 26-	
14	1(a). The parties are hereby ORDERED to file, no later than August 31, 2021, a joint proposed	
15	discovery plan. ¹	
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
17	Dated: August 19, 2021	
18		
19		Nancy J. Koppe United States Magistrate Judge
20		
21		
22		
23	1	
24	The Court recognizes that an order to show cause was issued as to why the case should not be remanded. Docket No. 7. The potential that a case may be remanded is generally not sufficient justification to stay discovery because a remand would not render any discovery conducted in this case moot or otherwise result in a waste of the parties' resources. <i>Anoruo v. Valley Health Sys., LLC</i> , 2018 WL 1785866, at *3 (D. Nev. Apr. 13, 2018); <i>see also Grammer v. Colo. Hosp. Ass'n Shared Servs.</i> , 2015 WL 3938406, at *2 (D. Nev. June 26, 2015) ("This action will either continue in federal court or in state court. Unless the case settles, discovery will proceed regardless of the outcome of the District Court's remand decision"). Nonetheless, to the extent	
25		
27		
28	the parties believe justification exists to stay di- addressing the applicable standards may be filed	